ATLANTA, Ga. AP - Sen, Richard B. Russell, D-Ga., a member of the Warren Commission that investigated the assassination of John F. Kennedy, still is not satisfied fully with the findings. But neither does he join the ranks of recent critics.

''You can raise questions - yes. The test is, can you answer them?'' Russell told an interviewer for the Atlanta Journal recently at his home in Winder, Ga.

Russell did not want to serve on the commission and objected privately to Chief Justice Earl Warren of the Supreme Court, who served as chairman.

''I'm the only man that bucked the report,'' he told the Journal reporter. ''I told them I'm not going to sign it as long as it's this way.''

Russell's adamancy apparently resulted in significant alternations of key findings in the final report. The senator said he

prepared dissents to three points.

Russell objected to the original wording that stated categorically there had been no conspiracy involved in the assassination. He insisted the report should go no further than a finding that Lee Harvey Oswald fired the shots that killed Kennedy and wounded Gov. John B. Connally of Texas.

The final compromise on this point said the commission found no evidence that Oswald or Jack Ruby, who killed Oswald, ''was part of any conspiracy, domestic or foreign.''

Russell, himself a lawyer and longtime chairman of the Senate's Central Intelligence Agency oversight committee, said he was concerned about two points:

1. He did not feel assured that the Soviet Union had provided all the information available on Oswald's 1959-62 state in Russia.

2. He was not satisfied that all facts were brought out about

Oswald's Cuba-related activities
- Oswald had dealings with the
Fair Play for Cuba Committee
and had told consular officials
in Mexico City about eight
weeks before the assassination
that he wanted permission to
visit Cuba en route to Russia.